

June 8, 2014  
**"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt  
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)**

**"Better Think to See Who's "Us", Who're "We""**

© Alan Watt June 8, 2014

**Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 8, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)**

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://.us) , [.ca](http://.ca)

[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

---

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenkness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenkness.com)

---

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:**

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &

for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** *postal* money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL *postal* money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram

(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**

Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on June the 8<sup>th</sup>, 2014. I seldom, if ever, really plan what I'm going to talk about because there's so much you *can* talk about, and in one hour you can't say an awful lot, on anything actually. And there's your problem. That's why you generally go to college and universities for *higher* education, or more insight, and even that's a joke today. Because everything has changed dramatically, for a myriad of reasons too. Apart from that, the control factor is always involved in what's taught to youngsters, to guarantee that what they are taught brings about the culture and civilization for *their* particular future that masters have already arranged for them to have.

Professors themselves can go so far with certain topics; many of them are quite happy to do what they're told and get their tenures and so on, and talk a lot of nonsense and at the same time bring in the money, and at the same time churn out the students that the establishment feels happy with. So nothing is ever as it seems. So much of the topics now in university are idealistic. They are idealistic

topics, emotive topics, as opposed to really the practical topics or intellectual topics. A lot of folk get disconcerted being in university and drop out because of those reasons, that something is left out, they're not being fulfilled. And in fact, they're being brainwashed and that's really what they're trying to find out. That's the answer to it all, eventually that clicks with them, maybe after they've left, and they find out what was wrong.

Every generation is subject to its own particular time of existence; and they view the past in the same way. So the past is always colored by the present when you're looking backwards from the present point of view. And it's very difficult for people to understand how people lived in the past, apart from the TV dramas and so on which are often completely fictitious. They give the wrong impressions basically of how things were. People in the past, before say the 1800s, really were pretty static in their ways and their views and opinions and so on. Before technology came in in a big way with the revolutions of machinery, you found that people lived in an agricultural society basically. For the majority of people in every country that was their existence. Living on the land, being partly self-sufficient, sometimes completely self-sufficient, but also selling off portions of their produce to the big cities, etc., or even to those around them, the little towns and so on.

But most of the people really were classed as peasants across the whole planet. You find it's very difficult to imagine yourself being a peasant, because we look back, as I say, from the present circumstances and try to put ourselves into the past. The peasants' needs were very little. The forms of control of society were internal. By internal controls I mean you were taught a hard-core religion basically; that gave you all your reason for things happening, the way things were, your existence, your very existence. Things were very simplistic. Education was at a minimal, if even present at all, for the peasantry. Therefore, everything came from the church and the state basically; so these were authorized systems, from the top of course. But the internal controls on the average individual meant very little crime, even in his own communities, except for the occasional psychopathic type that exists at all classes and levels of society. But most of the people knew the basic rules, the taboos as they call them.

We find once the big technology came in, the Industrial Revolution came in, and folk were crammed into cities, into inhumane conditions, religion started to go on the wane because it didn't answer the terrible circumstances the public had to live in for the first time. Being crammed into these dirty, dirty buildings, inhumane conditions, working conditions too, 16 hours a day, no compensation, no welfare or anything like that. If a man was sick his wife had to take over his position in the mines, for instance, coal mines in Europe; and that went on right up into, really, the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. So we really don't know what it was like to live back then.

But what they did give them too, was a fixed system. That's when the *specialists* started to arise to find out how to control the public, basically, how to control them. They put out, they were going to give them basic education, very basic, minimalistic education so they could even read instructions in the factories they worked in and so on. They were given the penny novels, things that kept them off; today it's replaced by television and movies and so on. But back then it was novels, very simplistic novels of heroism, things which they would never get to see themselves or do but they could imagine themselves in that position. You identify

if you're a male with the hero and the women identify with the heroine. So it was a very simplistic formula for keeping people into fantasyland where they can discuss it with their own peer group and escape for a little while from their conditions that they were actually living in. So internal controls had to shift when the Industrial Revolution came in. This is very important to understand how things have been changing ever since, up to the present time.

You find too, that obedience to authority was always stressed by the state church; whichever was the ruling church always stressed obedience to the state and to the authorities. This again began to wane in the 18<sup>th</sup> century and you found revolutions breaking out too. When people started to complain they formed groups and they were just fed up with their lot. They weren't having it anymore basically. So that's how things changed.

We are still going through the system today, of changes. Today there's a lot more scientific psychology being used on the general population than ever before, to try and keep restraints upon them. That's where the changes, you get both systems... You've got external changes working today, external control of the state, the threat of the use of force against you, and you also have more and more internal controls, how to be a *good* citizen; you're told what a good citizen *is* basically.

Because we are social creatures it's easier to tell people through *persuasive* means, many of them that you're unaware of that are being used against you or to you or upon you, and you go along because you want to belong to a peer group, whatever it happens to be. Even the rebellious types for teenagers, the fashions are given to them. The language, there's always a language that goes with a particular age group, so that they are the only ones who understand the in-group conversations, things like that. It's all given to them by the authorities who own the entertainment industry and the fashion industry and so on. They all work together, and they always have by the way. Even the body piercing all came out with Hollywood showing the tattoos, body piercing and so on, with characters in movies, knowing certain people would identify with them and go for it, especially a certain age group. It's down to a perfect art today in fact.

You have no idea what goes on in Hollywood, for instance. You don't know that at one time they did surveys; they still do surveys, constantly, with their particular groups that they have to come in. They show them different clips of movies, or sometimes whole movies, and they ask them questions. But they also bring in the neuroscientists today who literally wire them up. They wire them up and they will show them clips from different particular movies to find out what really makes the person's mind jump all over inside their brain space, the different parts of the brain. Different kinds of movies, thrillers, horrors, things like that... It's actually a fantastic way to map the brain. A very quick way is through movies in fact; your guard is down. You're not being questioned the same way by people, and they simply do it in real time; they monitor your brainwaves and different parts of the brain in real time, and they know exactly what turns you on. They know of the sexual centers, which you'll see sadomasochism in pretty well all the movies today as they churn them out, things like that, the dominance, etc. in sadomasochism. It really does work awfully, awfully easily upon most people. Taboos are broken and the fantasy goes to the extreme so people get turned on with it. Because it's a basic instinct, the sexual centers of the brain. You have a basic instinct, therefore they use that in you. The same with food too of course, in ads, they wire you up for

that too and they can tell you what really makes your mouth water and what makes you start concentrating on what you're seeing, is it the ice cream or what it happens to be. Neuroscience is heavily involved in this.

But when you take it out of that arena and you see it's all being used upon you, you get the same thing in social politics and various forms of indoctrination, and persuasion especially. It's a perfect art today. Perfect. These really create, as I say, more of a passive control, where you conform, you conform to the peer group you identify with, and the sect inside the group that you belong to or you want to belong to. You have external controls applied to you, although you're unaware that that's being done to you, you think it's all your own choices of course, you're being the rebel or whatever it happens to be. But nothing is further from the truth.

Very few folk today, *very, very* few really have their own mind. And even then it's almost impossible to completely have your own mind when you can never be assured of the actual true facts on any particular thing. That's how difficult this maze is. Because as I say, education itself is a written-out format, with psychologists, neuroscientists, sociologists, all these different factors involved in it, to make sure that *you* come out with the right opinions and the right ways of looking at things, the authorized ways of looking at things for the future.

This ideology, or way of creating ideology, and basically conformity, to even radical groups... That's conformity, you're conforming to the ideology presented *to* you, and obviously authorized from the top too. Because they don't ever, ever have any unplanned revolts, believe you me. Then you're going under a particular system, that you think you're rebelling in. But you're allowed to rebel, whether you like it or not, or know it or not in fact. Sometimes they'll let it go to a certain stage, like on campuses and things, and broadcast it even too. But that's all part of the planned structure; they know that it will never get out of hand, etc. etc.

Sometimes they use certain groups, they create them in fact, to do rebellion, even small terrorist acts. The 60s was full of terrorist acts, way more than today; and it was all the radical groups within, especially, the US. That was the main country to see this happening within. The Marxist ideologies involved with it, some across Europe, the Baader Meinhof Group, these kind of ones too, but that was all basically monitored from its start to its finish basically, for those who don't know that. So I always warn people not to get involved in something because it's an *ideology*, which you think is yours. *Oh that's wrong, I agree with what that guy says, therefore I'll follow that guy.* It's a very simplistic way of thinking. And believe you me, the guys that are put out for you to follow are coached on how to talk, how to put things across, the technique that's applied to their personality, and you'll often fall for it.

A great thing for any group follower to do is to listen to the term 'we'. When the leader always says we *are going to, we, we, us, us, they can't do that to us.* When you start to stop, you're depersonalizing yourself and becoming part of the 'us' or the 'we'. Be very careful of that. Because it's a technique where often you'll have nothing really in common with the standard of living, the income, the *real* ideals and plans of the person at the top. You have nothing at all in common, and they have nothing in common with you, except you're awfully useful to them. So be very, very careful how you're played. And people are played all the time in politics or anything involved that seemingly is politics or political. Be very, very careful.

You'll find what was awfully popular to be used on the general public from the Industrial Revolution onwards, well into the 20<sup>th</sup> century, which is slacking off today, was the ideology of *saving*. Benjamin Franklin was famous for putting out his little Poor Richard Stories, and *a penny saved is a penny earned*, all these kind of things. It was always to do with the future, the future, the future. And people would invest in the future, financially and otherwise too, always *putting off the present for a better tomorrow*. Of course these ideologies too were used to the extreme with, say, Marxist tendencies when you saw the Soviet Union come into being, where a whole collection of countries, under the Soviet Union, really were striving, supposedly, for a *better tomorrow*, the worker's paradise. And when they first took over they flung themselves into it, the people flung themselves into this, thinking for the first time it's *our* system, it's *our* countries, it's *our* decisions that are being put into force and effect for a *better tomorrow* and our children will reap the harvest. Of course that simply wasn't happening.

But we're always used, for this technique, for a *better tomorrow*. The West still uses it today in fact, for a *better tomorrow*, the global society. They'll even bring in things that appeal to your emotions. Again, like helping the people across the world for their standard of living to be raised. And we know from experience that the big corporations at the very top of the tree, especially today, it's nothing but corporations interlocked, often with the same shareholders, dominant shareholders owning them all, that use these Third World countries, to exploit them basically for minerals, their resources, and their very cheap labor. They've been doing it all through the 20<sup>th</sup> century to the present time, but now it's under of the term of *globalization*. *Oh, it's a wonderful thing to look forward to, with a global government one day, and fairness and justice for all*. All of the usual slogans are put out there. But the big boys at the top have no intention of having any kind of equality in the world whatsoever. And that apparently is human nature. That is human nature.

Human nature is so disregarded by idealists, and especially the followers, that human nature won't allow that to happen. You have the power impulse that exists amongst many people and again, in all classes you'll find the power impulse, those who know how to dominate others and bully them, or talk over them, yell at them, have them cowering to get what they want, things like that. Other ones are more intelligent and they can manipulate more easily, the better psychopaths, to use folk around them of course. But the techniques today are so perfected, so perfected that the public are completely unaware that they're being used on them all the time. There's not a script that comes out of television that isn't gone over many, many times by different groups within the agency putting them out, and reviews and so on, before you ever get the script read or shown to you. That's how delicate everything is today and perfected it is.

So getting back to people, especially movements which try to use you, it's always for a *better tomorrow*. Some will go back to the past, especially in the US where they had a brief period of prosperity for the working classes, from the end of World War II basically through the 50s and 60s into the 70s, and drastically declining then on. A small brief period where for the first time they could afford, a working-class guy in a factory could afford to buy a house. Mind you, everything was much cheaper, the dollar was worth more. But the way that the money system is set up it constantly is devalued, because it's backed by nothing but debt,

as we well know. So inflation is built into the money system that we all are under, the same con, where money is loaned out to you through governments, or agencies of the government, and it's all based on debt so it naturally inflates all the time.

But putting things off till tomorrow for some great utopia is nonsensical. Absolutely nonsensical. It's never happened in history. And it never will happen in history, or the future history I should say, looking back, it won't happen; it just doesn't happen at all. You'll always get eternal optimists too, and remember too, that all segments of society, and all psychologies involved within society are incredibly well studied. You'll find leaders put out for that too, the eternal optimists that come out with their motivational courses, etc., they're always up... *Oh yeah, it's terrible right now but it's going to be a lot better tomorrow, I guarantee it.* ...and so on. And they're never down it appears. And people like to follow that, as they would often follow a religion, you see. The same techniques are in play, in fact, a form of idealism for tomorrow and it's not all that bad.

But we find that when we go through all of these changes, as I say from the 1700s onwards, the internal controls that used to keep us going along with this system, because we weren't being bashed over the head by military, butts of rifles and things like that, to keep us in line, the internal controls are starting to wear off again today. When that happens you find the militarization - this is standard in history - the militarization of the system within, and it takes many, many forms. It's not all uniforms. It's not all just government agencies. You'll also find it within compulsory moving for employees; if you want to keep this job you've got to move from here to there, but they'll give you a little increase in your pay and it becomes worth it. That also comes under this big, big system of militarization. It's moving what is stable for you, uniform for you, into something else, for a reward of some other kind, or else you lose what you already have. You're actually being forced and coerced whether you like it or not, you see.

No major change in societal structure is allowed, unless it's forethought out in advance by big think tanks, many big think tanks. And everything certainly is, *minutely* in fact. Not just by one think tank, say, on one topic, it might have about a dozen think tanks working on that one topic. Then they compare all information. Then it goes for review, and further review and assessment, and rewritten, etc. etc, until they get the plan that they want to deal with possible upcoming problems. In the past of course they thought about revolution that had to be nipped in the bud, if it was not under their control that is. They decided for the West, for instance, to give them more materialistic goods, which would offset their dissatisfaction with things that were happening in societal change around them, which involved them and affected them too. Because people were becoming dislocated in their minds as to their place in the world and their own little society and so on; things were changing so rapidly in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, especially in the latter half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The big think tanks were heavily employed to keep the order to be maintained by those already in rule, and I don't mean politicians.

You feel your needs are being satisfied when you have more cheap things to buy, whether you like them or not. And again, what you want has nothing to do with what you need of course. If you ask people's opinions on what they want, it's nothing to do you'll find out with what they actually need, even for basic survival. So the fantasies, these things which are fantastical in a sense because they're disassociated from survival, become of prime importance to them, because it's

being pushed towards them to stop them from being too dissatisfied and perhaps forming organizations that get to the bottom of things to find out what's really wrong. Therefore everyone's happy they're getting their goods for cheap. Even if they're low down on the pay scale they can still afford their cell phone and all the rest of the stuff today, which that's not by chance, by the way. It's all planned that way.

In the 19<sup>th</sup> century into the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, for instance, Britain was a fantastic country for studying people. The amount of experts involved from the top, all through society, to study people was enormous; still is today. Today it's easier with the Internet because they get your instant data and they can keep tabs on you. But back then they had many different levels of specialists working on keeping order, maintaining order and keeping the public willing to go and join the military in times of war, that type of thing, to ensure that they'd always win and the status quo would be maintained. But they had the wages of the people categorized, in all different categories of society, and they knew how much you would need for a family of four, say, as an income, how much rent would be - it was often rent, not mortgages, because very few folk could really afford their houses, especially in Scotland, say, and Ireland, places like that; it was all council housing - and how much you would spend on food. But they always left enough over to make sure that the publicans - interesting term too, publicans, meaning those who owned bars and pubs; they were licensed by the government to operate. They could go in there and they'd have their escape for a while. They didn't have so much television years ago and this was their escape, the social escape where they can go in there and get half drunk, or completely drunk, and boast to their friends, to get affirmation of their existence in the way that they wanted, always out of proportion with their reality and situation of course but that didn't matter. It worked awfully well. The Soviet Union did the same thing, where the workers were kept happy with very cheap vodka, which you could buy without standing in line as you'd have to sometimes do for food outside of Moscow.

Now, we're always under restraints, as I say, internal restraints - self-policing they call it today by the way - where you have your taboos inserted upon you, or *into* you. You internalize them and they will work upon you of course too. And we think we're free. But we're not really free because true freedom is freedom from restraints. You see. Of course you say, *well you can't have that, there'll be anarchy*. Well, true freedom from restraints would mean that psychopaths could go and do what they wanted to do with impunity. So naturally there has to be some kind of restraint. But of course any society, down to the so-called primitive societies, all have their taboos for restraints so as they can function as a society. The problem in the West and with education and those in power happens to be is because it's so perfected, to give you different kinds of restraints for other purposes rather than to keep basic order. And it's to make sure that you simply don't protest or turn against even the system you're living within.

People think, for instance, that democracy is the end run of all movements. Those at the top, the ones who even push it and use you to push it, are liars. Because they have studied all the courses at the very, very top. They've studied all the great historians in history and the philosophers in history and they know that democracy is only one part if we're always evolving in a societal way, that's only one stage we go through. And we've gone through the different stages before, feudalism and so on. Now we're into a thing called democracy, and really, it's

already over with; it never really got off the ground to an extent in fact. It helped internal restraints, self-policing, for a long time. But now it's waning and when it wanes of course, they do know that militarization occurs. From the very top they say it *must* occur, more militarization, basically coercion to obedience of authority, all authorities, to make you follow the line and behave.

So fear makes you compromise, it makes you self-police, in the *new* fashion, fear of being caught or accused of anything at all. Of course that was also perfected in the Soviet Union when so many spies were inserted amongst the populations, in their streets and so on, listening to conversations. And you get called up... *Did you say this? Did you say that? That's taboo.* Today we have all of these things. There are so many topics you're not allowed to even talk about. It's not because the ones at the top care about particular segments of society that are being talked about or complained about. It's because they're teaching you, by all the means they can, by what seems to be, *seems* to be valid excuses, you see, for you to self-police what you say and what you think especially. It's all to do with changing how and what you're going to think *about*. In Pavlovian style when you're told, don't think about that, don't talk about this or that, don't even ask a question about this or that as a child, and children should ask all the questions they want by the way, when you can't, then it's for a different reason. There's something else behind the topic itself of the question.

Now, militarization in itself happens when, as I say, the self-policing starts to fail. Things are wrong, they're obviously wrong. People, today especially, are really noticing the planned inflation, or quantitative easing as it's called by, say, the US Federal Reserve, where all the top banks in the world actually are constantly devaluing their currency. They're devaluing the currency constantly, which makes you pay more and more paper money or whatever it happens to be for the same goods. It's quite simple. Everyone is noticing it, therefore you have the militarization aspect of conformity, don't grouse too much. If you grouse about things you're *antigovernment*, another term that is taught in Pavlovian fashion to get you to respond and switch off in a specific way. Switch off from the topic, don't complain about it, obey. You see. And therefore you don't have freedom. And you don't have self-policing just to the same extent. You have a form of self-policing but you also have external controls as well, of who's listening, who's talking, etc. etc., who's complaining, who's reporting.

You can see too, how this works with expansionism of countries or states or nations. During their time of expansion in the past, when they were going out to do their empire building, there was more acceptance by the public, because they had to be kept to an extent a bit happier than they had been before, financially and otherwise, to be patriotic to go off and join the militaries and go off and fight various wars, which were completely unjust of course. They were conquering on behalf of those who wanted the riches within those countries, not for the people who fought the wars, the actual sloggers and foot soldiers, etc. But that changes. Once the expansionism is over and the patriotism dies down then it's well understood that now you need a new technique to keep the people stable, obedient and so on. That's what I'm talking about right now in fact, are these techniques being used today.

They knew, for instance, that as they went into expansionism or expansionism eventually waned, which it has done, international corporations would have to



keep expanding to keep a power elite in place. Therefore they came up with the idea of free trade. That was to be a thing of the future, back in the late 1800s, well-documented, from Britain especially, and through that technique they would bring in a global society which would be ruled in the same form that they used to use militaries to do it. Now they would use simply commerce to do it, international corporations. You don't know that through free trade your tax money is subsidizing the same big international corporations to build factories. We build them for them in Third World countries; they get it basically for free. And the Third World countries supply the cheap labor and the minerals, etc., at a fraction of the price they can get it elsewhere. So everything works out for the established control. But they sell it to the general public as helping the poor and raising the standard of living and so on and so on and so on. That's how things are sold to the public, very simplistically.

They appeal to your idealism and your natural humanitarian aspects and it works awfully well. But in reality, as I say, when military expansionism stops and conquering and the flag-waving stops, that's how they have to do it. And at the same time they start giving you massive, more quantities of cheap goods from these countries that are producing the things, as opposed to quality. In fact, quality constantly goes down and down and down, where to maximize profits – because this is the time of ultimate greed right now, that's ever been. To maximize the profits for the big shareholders in these corporations they'll cut pennies, *every corner they can* until things are almost nonfunctional in some cases; they definitely don't last long. The cars you drive might look nice when they're new, but again, they're built to break down faster and faster. And lots of things happen within the car, plastic deteriorates, things like that. There are even Volkswagens that come out in the past that were biodegradable, biodegradable plastic in them and they were falling apart before six months were out, literally crumbling to powder. So things are being made cheaper and cheaper and we're buying junk that doesn't last long at all, and quantity has replaced quality altogether.

You can't go to university today without running into Marxism, which is taught openly, has been for an awful long time, all through the Cold War too. Because professors were brought in from Eastern Europe and put into universities in preparation for all of that too, to make sure the right kind of student was churned out for the changes of the future. And Marx does not explain the causes of things at all. But today you can't go into university without it. Whatever topic it is, you have to look at it from a Marxist point of view and so on and so on. You can't look at the past with today's ideologies, through those eyes. You can't do it. It can't be done. Therefore if you do go through that, and you must look at it from this perspective or that perspective or whatever it happens to be, you get a totally false idea of the past.

In the past religion was still dominant because religion encompassed the basic values of human experience. In religion you internalize it and you examine it of course, because we are human creatures. Science initially used to say that they were not in conflict with religion, but of course they were, and they have become predominant now, and bashing religions, trying to say that everything is rational when they themselves are not rational because their theories keep changing, all the time. So power struggles go on within all the different professions and so on.

One area which has been heavily discussed for a long time by the big think tanks,

employed by governments and those who run governments, the big private organizations comprised of the big industrialists, international corporations, they have their foundations of course that employ many of the guys who become politicians, and they are sworn allegiance to the ones who first hired them which are the big foundational organizations. You'll find that they've been very concerned about, again, internal control. Because we're at an age today where regardless of internal control there are too many dissatisfactions cropping up, as we go through massive shifts between the old systems, going up through society to eventually the nation-state and now into the global society; in the nation-state you become more disillusioned than ever, more disaffected than ever. You don't know who you are anymore, what you belong to; it's not pushed. And everything really is very impersonal; that's the problem with it all. So there are many, many groups forming of course trying to get something back; that's the first response the citizenry have, especially if they're starting to lose what they used to have personally, financial or otherwise. They want to go back to the past, and that will never be allowed... never be allowed.

Even by itself it can't happen that way anyway because you need the total system of community and society to go along together. That can never happen. It can never happen today because we're not the 20<sup>th</sup> century man anymore. We're not the 19<sup>th</sup> century man or woman anymore. We've changed since then. The whole world structure has changed. And the economic system has changed. But in the past they used to use, again, shock weaponry. They called it shock weaponry, from the ancient times of using clubs to spears to arrows, missiles and so on. All the way through the 20<sup>th</sup> century it was missiles, projectiles and all that. A small force heavily armed could always keep the public in check, if they were let loose upon the public.

Today, even with the big internal controls, or I should say, the big internal organizations they have amassed in preparation for any meltdown of society, through these changes, which they understand perfectly well, then they will meet all resistance with overwhelming force, right down to domestic disputes. We've had many examples in newspapers of that over the years. This is their form of controlling. But even at that it cannot totally be controlled by pure physical, brute, shock force. So therefore they went heavily, again, into other forms of control. Electronic control is a big, big, big one that Brzezinski and others have talked about, by using electrical pulses through the atmosphere, very cheap to produce, which can control the human mind to an extent, and definitely the mood. We find going way back to Tesla's time for instance, he talked about knocking out whole cities with pulses, making people unconscious if need be, or even killing them.

This has never been let go by the power elite who understand they have to have other measures of completely controlling society without destroying everything in the process. So therefore technotronic warfare, as it's called, is definitely in production today. It's being used to an extent through all the Wi-Fi, through the cell phone towers, etc. You take the cell phone towers themselves, it's a network of antenna that can broadcast simultaneously in certain frequencies, regardless of all the cell calls they're taking and passing on, information they're passing along. They can do that independently and create a particular atmosphere within the public, etc. etc. So therefore that's why *these* forms of control, external control, are incredibly well-financed. And there are surveys going on all the time, in

various secretive departments of private agencies working with governments, to find out the best way to control the populations should anything occur that would break up society as we go through the big changes that are all planned of course.

Because the world is always in a stage of planning for the future and it's never discussed openly to the public at all. You're kept entertained, you're kept distracted. Your local AM radio shows, and FM, across nations are simply devil's advocates where they give you emotional topics of local incidents. They come out as a devil's advocate, knowing you're going to phone in and complain, *oh no, I don't agree with that*. They get involved with emotive topics, but it's nothing to do with what's really happening to you on any large scale whatsoever. These are all carefully planned that way, not just for ratings.

Society as it stood from the 20<sup>th</sup> century to the present can't be static. It can't be that way forever. It's impossible. Because we were raised basically on a form of competition. Everyone was taught at school to compete, compete, compete. And if you can compete and get to the top you'll have a minority of winners and all the rest are losers. And the winners supposedly go into either governmental positions, as they did in the UK for instance, or they'd work for big corporations. In the UK they didn't stress, for instance, private enterprise. That wasn't pushed in the schools, because they already had the big boys controlling the big corporations. They didn't want other competition to come into it so they taught most folk in the UK to become employees and they could go up to a higher scale of income if you could join these big corporations as an accountant or an economist or something like that. But in the US they stressed competition which would lead, hopefully they thought, to again, more private enterprise. For a short time they had a success as again, a minority rose to the top. And they had the competition and they had the corporations spring up very quickly. Once they sprung up of course, corporations by nature form cartels and they keep the prices standard for themselves, and they don't want competition coming in so they monopolize everything. That's the history basically of corporations; they always monopolize everything that they need to produce whatever it is they're producing. All areas of production are monopolized. And that can't go on forever, obviously. We're at a stagnant state today where all up-and-coming corporations are simply appendages of the multinationals that already exist. They're putting up variations of their plants in different countries, but they're still owned by the corporations, the same corporations. So they decided they can't have any more competition.

But competition itself destroys everything. It doesn't form friendships, competition; it creates envy and jealousies, etc. At one time, going back to the Middle Ages, communities had to be self-sufficient. Any surplus they could trade with their neighboring communities and things like that, but they were not in competition with the external communities, until the businessmen got involved and started to use whole communities as their business basically. We found this with the weavers and things like that. Guys would rise up, they'd get local backing, local governmental backing for authority, and they would take over and become, basically the public free enterprise system became theirs, they owned it basically, and they had everybody working for peanuts. That's the way of human nature, unfortunately.

But it's very destructive. It can't go on forever; it's not meant to. Of course the boys at the top, who study all of these things in society, get word through their

think tanks to their bosses and they plan for the future so they can still maintain control, although sometimes it doesn't appear as though they're still in control to the public. But they're still in control, the same old families. Communities, though, have been destroyed. We see it, too, with the UN, the United Nations pushing the formation of city-states, where they amalgamate all the communities around them into one huge massive city. Once again, your identity becomes depersonalized because you now belong to this *massive* thing, that is sometimes the size of a small country, and you really feel impersonal, everything is impersonalized and you're sort of drifting along in this. You're a nobody in this massive system. That has an effect psychologically upon the people too. Many breakdowns occur to it. Others go to extremes trying to find something to belong to, and of course they can be used too by the wrong people.

Part of the problem too is the very effect that industrialization has. At one time work was something you did naturally. Many cultures, I've mentioned before, primitive cultures, have no word for work. You did it as a matter of your daily course of things and work was minimal to an extent, and pleasurable too. It was necessary but you didn't really think of it as hard, hard labor. The industrial system and profits created a misery system for most folk. An inhumane system for sure. We know that also what goes along with it too, is so-called labor saving in the workforces. In other words, they bring in more mechanization, computerization to get more profit out of things. But that profit also takes a lot of energy to produce, through the mechanization process, whichever fuel they're using or electricity in itself as well, and people are left, then, with no work to do. Because as I say work is a necessary thing, and they have no function, therefore they're laid off, they're put on welfare. And the welfare system was created primarily to deal with this, the thing that would come down the road, we're at it today of course. Because they knew it would reach this stage eventually. It also creates a very cheap workforce when you do need the actual labor itself.

Now, when it comes to government, and human nature in itself, government always expands. It doesn't matter what department is set up or its primary function is supposed to be, once it's set up and there are hierarchies involved within the structure or the sector or department that was set up, they want to expand to increase their power. Power is their motive for being, not for the task they were set out to do. Because everyone wants a better job, more money, more status, for power's sake, therefore every department will expand. Because they go back to their top bosses of course eventually and say, look we have all these responsibilities to take care of, even if their responsibility when they set up in the first place was one thing to look after, now they have taken over half a dozen or a couple of dozen functions and they say, it's impossible for everything to continue without us. That's the way it always works.

There's never a limitation put on anything to disband it after a certain time. They keep them in power and they expand like cancers. And they become, again, consumers, they consume our cash, our taxes, etc. And they're all liable in this present setup - it's been here for a long time actually - they're all liable to incredible corruption. They all have access to lobby groups, continuously. The citizenry can't get into their national governments to complain about something, but the lobbyists have instant access. And don't kid yourself that money and different favors don't change hands. They certainly do. Politicians are bought and paid for before they're even *selected* to get put in. They're well vetted by the

establishment before you even hear their names.

At one time nations looked towards the US Constitution as something to emulate and they wished they had in their own countries. Because on paper it looks fantastic. You have the three branches of government and they're supposed to have eight aspects of sovereignty in all. But they don't because the three branches don't stay within the limits of their own function. Each one goes *around* the basic tenets they were formed to handle and they try to, again, get more and more power, until things become dysfunctional as they're all competing with each other for power. Then you have a president who is looked upon more as an emperor in this modern day than an actual president, someone who *presides* over something; that's what it means, *to preside over* a governmental parliamentary type system or a congressional system. That doesn't happen today. He literally is involved in all the branches of government. Today you literally have rule by lawyers, basically, as opposed to the rule of law.

The US is supposed to be set up so that if the three branches of government couldn't agree on a particular policy or whatever, then it simply wasn't done. But now it goes to the Supreme Court and lawyers decide, they have the final say in things. And that's not the way it was supposed to be set up at all. So lawyers, as I say, who, again, are under the sway of the big-money boys at the top, are given their marching orders as to how to push for something. And they get what their Masters want. So it makes a farce out of everything altogether. Another thing that makes a farce of government too, and constitutions, is that if the government does not have control over corporations, and the money system entirely, the creation of it and so on, then it cannot possibly be sovereign. In fact, the opposite is true. The corporations and the money boys own the government. That's how it really works, in all countries of the Western world today.

Most folk in the US are kept blissfully ignorant of their past, their real past, their true past. In the 1820s, for instance, you'll find that the US states, the different states had uproar from the general populations over the corporations and what they were doing. Therefore they agreed that corporations must have a charter to exist. And the charter was a limited charter to be reviewed *for* renewal, if it was going to be renewed at all, according to any benefit to society and the community they had, as opposed to the detrimental effects they'd have and so on. That's out the window today, completely. Corporations are immortal; they live forever basically.

And that's all wrong, regardless of what you think. Because the corporations have more sway in what you're eating, for instance. Even though most of what you're eating, in the bread, any fiber that exists at all in it is taken from wood pulp today and chemicals are added galore; it's all waste products as well. Most of the taste you get of what you think is food today comes from chemicals, the chemical industry. Many byproducts, again, are chemicals and they're put in there to improve the taste quality. In other words, to create *some kind* of taste to make you think you're actually eating food. And folk have become accustomed to it so they eat all the packaged foods and processed foods with all the different enhancers, flavor enhancers they call them, added to them, to make you think you're actually having something awfully good and nutritious. And in actual fact it's often very poisonous and the nourishment value is pretty well zero.

Now, it doesn't matter how many restrictions you put on anything to do with corporations or whatever. It all ends up being decided by lawyers, not by the actual law that's written. They will reinterpret everything to suit themselves. Everything is always being *reinterpreted* by lawyers. All laws always are, to suit the times, to suit the bosses that they were employed by and it's a bit of a joke to even think of it as being something static whatsoever, some rule of law.

I always think about the emotive topics, the way that they're pushed upon the public, by repetition of course - it works awfully well through advertising and slogans and so on - for you to accept all of the changes that have been decided by your betters, those way above you that you'll never, ever hear of or never mind meet. You don't know it's even happening to you. You go along with the quotations that *the multicultural thing is all good*. And I'm not saying it's good or bad. What I'm saying is, the motive of pushing it upon you is not because they want to help anybody anywhere, believe you me. It's to bring in the society that they want with a massive global workforce, which is going to be awfully cheap down the road of course, and it's also going to create massive inequalities too. By the time it's really here, where those who are working and earning a decent salary are negated by the masses of unemployed people, the people on welfare, the people on governmental assistance, because they can't afford to even survive.

This is the Brave New World that's being brought in of course. And neuroscientists, behaviorists, psychologists, and again too, different techniques and even technotronic techniques are being used upon the general population, along with all the massive authorized drugging of society through the authorized pharmacies and the authorized doctors that are nothing more than pushers for the drug companies, all working together with what you think is your government, or governments, because they're not yours at all. It's all one big system. It's out of your control, out of your hands. And it's planned this way. It's not by chance, and it's not always *just* for pure profit. Never fall for that one.

I always laugh at Hollywood when it says, *well we're only reflecting society...* Meanwhile when you go and listen to the top people talking at the big, big shows that they have, amongst themselves, in the awards, they quite openly call themselves, 'The Culture Creators'. They are well aware of what their job is supposed to be. And they're all prostitutes because they go along with it. They have no social conscience; that's part of being a star. That's a quality that you definitely need.

So as I say, we don't live in the projected image that's projected to us. A lot of people try to conform, they try to go along with the image that's pushed to them. But of course it ends up that you have neurosis and internal conflicts where you blame yourself for not being happy. But don't worry, here's the pharma to help that. That's all planned out that way. And if you don't have that, you have even what you think is the underground system of drugs, illicit drugs, etc, which are all controlled by the same boys at the top. You'll find, for instance, a lot of the illicit drugs are created in big pharmaceutical companies, like ecstasy and things like that. These are not little handmade pill things. These are perfectly-formed machine-made things that come out of big, big production units. So the boys have you trained one way or another that whatever is wrong with you, *take a pill*; they don't really care if it's illicit or authorized, you see. They're *both* technically authorized. That's why in the welfare systems, and societies too, there's a certain

restriction *only*, by almost name, by coming down on the occasional little street runner that's not authorized to sell them, where the big boys go totally free all the time.

We know that governments are heavily involved in bringing in these various drugs too, illicit drugs, etc. etc. We know that Afghanistan has the troops, our troops guarding the opium crops and heroin is flourishing more than ever before today and being brought into the US and Britain and elsewhere. There's been too many exposés in the past of the CIA bringing in this kind of stuff and along with the cocaine from Latin America. Right up to the government level, we've heard the exposés in the past, and the so-called inquiries that go nowhere, when the Oliver North scandal came out with the guns for drugs and things like that, scandals like that. We tend to forget it all. But what it's showing you is that nothing is as it seems. Nothing is as it seems. But for those at the top, they're perfectly aware of what's happening, why it's happening. Because they're generally behind it all.

We're managed completely at all possible levels. Today with the Internet you're monitored more than ever before. They've got your complete personality profile. They know your behavior, your projected behavior for the future. If you deviate from that behavior they want to know why, immediately, and you'll tell them immediately by chatting to your friends and so on. Total information network is well underway. Well here, it's a permanent thing. It's here. It's not going to change. And it will get worse and worse in fact. One day you'll get called in, or get a visit, when you deviate from your routine norms and they'll want to know why. If you're looking into an area that they don't quite want you to go into they'll want to know why you're doing this. This is pre-crime basically, one day you might go into a radical sect or something like that and they're nipping you in the bud before it happens. This will definitely be authorized, and you'll be mandatorily drugged or whatever it happens to be, and have psychiatric care until you're formatted back to the person they want you to be, fit to live in the society that they've created for you.

But I've rambled on tonight, as I say, off the top of my head as I always do and this is just to let you know that, always question what's going on. Don't be overwhelmed by things. Don't be caught up in the fear mode that's always pushed, because fear is a good seller for those that push it. Always be very, very careful who is trying to get into your head, and by whatever means you think you're choosing that are going to get into your head, it's often not your own choice at all; you're being fooled. Beware of those that say *we*, *us*, etc. because... Are you really part of the *we* or the *us*? Who is using you? Think about it. Be very, very careful. Because there is only one you and the mind has no firewalls. You have to guard everything that comes into your own mind, and think about it too. No matter what you're told, question it, intensely. That's what freedom is. You see you still have the freedom to do that, if you wish to do that; at the moment you can still do that. As I say, down the road I really don't think you'll have the ability, because they'll nip you in the bud when they catch on that you're actually thinking for yourself. And that can be a dangerous, dangerous thing in the world that they're shaping around you today.

Those at the top become very nasty, believe you me, when the fake society of peace and security that they often project is threatened, at all, from a source, even a great idea. That's what terrifies them, more than anything else. All the other

authorized areas, which they clamp down on, are often led by them in fact to make you think that you really need these organizations to keep you safe, that they actually create their own problems. They put them out there, and they get lots of patsies that are available, and the techniques are perfect for creating them today, perfect in fact. But that's an old technique.

Now, I'd like to say too, remember folks, that I'm not sitting here just dishing out information for nothing. I have to live too. I have to pay for all the websites I have, and I have to pay for all the other things you pay for along with the websites. People seem to think I live in some kind of happy mansion somewhere where I smoke a pipe and drink brandy or something with a dinner jacket on. Nothing is further from the truth. I live at a lower standard of living than many of you out there. I really do. Because what I get goes into what I do, and it's not a lot of money at all. So I'd really appreciate it, for the learning you're getting, and lots of folk are getting a lot of teaching, and they write to me. But I really do need folk to help out. You can donate, remember, by either donating straight donations, by PayPal or cash or whatever. You'll find it all at [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) as to how to do it. Or you can buy the books I have out there where I go through different things and different techniques of control, that have always been used. There's much more than just control in it, I show you how people think, I show you how you think. And I put a way in there, too, of the writing that enables you to think as you're actually reading through it. So there's a lot in those particular books. So you can help me out too so I can tick along here. Because I'm not really even ticking along right now.

Now from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:  
[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and [alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

---

### **Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:**

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."  
Volumes 1, 2, 3      Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:  
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- Ancient Religions  
and History MP3
- CDs**      CDs:      &      Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'  
Part 1 (1998) and      Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)  
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs**      "Reality Check Part 1"      &      "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,  
Esoterica and ...TIME"